

Applicant respectfully agrees with the Examiner that this new limitation in Claim 6 distinguishes Applicant's claimed invention from the cited prior art.

In the April 21, 2003 office action, the Examiner (1) stated that Applicant's amendment has overcome the drawing objections; (2) stated that the 35 USC § 112 rejection has been overcome; (3) rejected Claim 1 under 35 USC § 102(b); and (4) rejected Claims 2-6 under 35 USC 103(a).

Applicant is submitting in this response: (1) amendments to Claims 1-6; and (2) arguments regarding the 102(b) and 103(a) rejections as discussed during the telephone interview.

Accordingly, please amend the above entitled application as follows:

**In The Claims**

Please enter amended Claim 1-6 as presented on Attachment A. Please note that Claims 2-5 which are dependant on Claim 1 are amended only as to form, not content.